

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/669,244	09/25/2003	Mike McDonald	MMD-100	3527
75	590 06/24/2005		EXAM	INER
Russell J. Egan			SLACK, NAOKO N	
Suite 120 908 Town & Country Blvd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77024-2221			3635	
		DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/669,244	MCDONALD, MIKE			
	omee neading cumulary	Examiner	Art Unit			
<del></del>	The MANUAL DATE of this communication and	Naoko Slack	3635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - External enternal entern	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 September 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 9/25/2003	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant's IDS received September 25, 2003 has been entered and considered.

# **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the corrugated members bonded to the intermediate sheet with the corrugations running normal to each other (claim 4) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Objection

In claim 10, line 1, "1further" appears to be a typographical error. Correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 USC 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and

Application/Control Number: 10/669,244

Art Unit: 3635

distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 depends from claim 1 and recites the limitation "the outer corrugated member" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 12 and 13 depend from claim 1 and recite the limitation "said resilient material" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102(b)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 USC 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US Patent 5,433,156 to Hutchinson.

#### Claim 1:

Hutchinson discloses a building panel comprising a first member of stiff

Art Unit: 3635

corrugated material, an intermediate sheet of insulative material, and a second member of stiff corrugated material, the corrugated member being bonded to opposite sides of the intermediate sheet.

## Claim 2:

Hutchinson's corrugated members may be formed from plastics material.

#### Claim 3:

Hutchinson's corrugated members may be formed from wood pulp product.

#### Claim 5:

Hutchinson's corrugated members are bonded to the intermediate sheet with the corrugations running parallel to each other.

Claims 1, 4, 10, and 13 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US Patent 3,950,910 to Pobanz.

### Claim 1:

Pobanz discloses a building panel comprising a first member of stiff corrugated material, an intermediate sheet of insulative material, and a second member of stiff corrugated material, the corrugated member being bonded to opposite sides of the intermediate sheet.

## Claim 4:

Pobanz's corrugated members are bonded to the intermediate sheet with the corrugations running normal to each other.

#### Claim 10:

Art Unit: 3635

Pobanz's layer of resilient material spans the outer side of an outer corrugated member.

Claim 13:

Pobanz's resilient material spans the peaks of the corrugated member.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

The following is a quotation of 35 USC 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-9, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 3,950,910 to Pobanz as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, and further in view of US Patent 4,852,316 to Webb.

Claim 6-9, 11, 12:

While Pobanz states that the panels are coated with a fire-retardant and water-resistant sheet (column 2, lines 16-19), Pobanz does not disclose that the corrugated members are coated with a fungicide, fire retardant material, or mold defeating material.

However, such coatings are well known in the building arts for protecting structures. For example, Webb teaches an exterior wall panel comprising fire resistant bonded layers (column 1, line 10), the outer layer comprising a coating comprising a resilient acrylic resin, fiber, and a fungicide (column 1, lines 48-49). In view of Webb, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply a resilient acrylic, fiber, fungicide coating to Pobanz's panels to strengthen the panels and protect the panels from decay.

Regarding claim 12, application of Webb's coating would naturally follow the shape of the corrugated member, as a coating comprises a liquid which follows the contours of the coated surface.

## Prior Art Made of Record

The following US patents are considered relevant to the instant application and are made of record:

US Patent 6,599,645 to Wittebrood discloses a corrugated metal panel;

US Patent 2,045,733 to Spafford discloses an insulation panel with corrugated sheets; and

US Patent 4,089,324 to Tjaden discloses a plastic coating on a corrugated panel.

#### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naoko Slack whose telephone number is (571) 272-6848. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (6:00 am-2:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D. Friedman can be reached on (571) 272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Naoko Slack Primary Examiner Art Unit 3635

NS June 20, 2005